Order of the **KITTITAS** County

Board of Equalization

Property Owner:	Van de Graaf Ranch Properties LLC				
Parcel Number(s):	379433				
Assessment Year:	2015		Petition Number:BE450092		
Having considered	the evidence pre	esented by the par	ties in this appeal, the Boa	rd hereby:	
\bigotimes sustains	overrules	the determina	tion of the assessor.		
Assessor's True a	nd Fair Value		BOE True and Fair V	alue Determination	
⊠ Land ⊠ Improvemen	\$ ts \$	627,750	Land Improvements	\$\$	

Minerals

Total Value

Personal Property

This decision is based on our finding that:

Minerals

Personal Property Total Value

The issue before the Board is the assessed value of land/improvements.

A hearing was held on May 09, 2016. Those present: Board Members Jennifer Hoyt, and Reta Hutchinson; Clerk Debbie Myers, Appraiser Brent Parsons, and Appellant Karen Van de Graaf-Erickson.

Appellant Karen Van de Graaf-Erickson said the market prices went up substantially since last year. She also said there are not very many properties as large as theirs and stated there were not very many comparable land sales. She noted most of their large pieces of land are just used for cattle grazing, due to irrigation problems, and intermittent creeks in the parcels. She also said they are not questioning the value of the buildings, just the value of the land. The Appellant said they have no interest in subdividing this land, and that they are cattle people, she just felt it isn't fair to value grazing land the same as land that is parceled out.

Appraiser Brent Parsons said this propety is not in a red water zone, and that the land is valued as additional acreage. He explained how they value the land in the different water zones; the averages they used; and how the different water zones affected property values. They also discussed land models, comparable sales, road access and rocky land. Appraiser Parsons said the dryland was valued at \$750 an acre and the irrigated Reecer Creek land which is able to be subdivided was valued higher at \$1,500 per acre. When the Appellant questioned the different values and said much of the land is unbuildable, Parsons said they value at what the highest and best use of the land is; but that the Assessors would use any information in their property valuations that the Appellant had received and could provide regarding the land being unbuildable.

Pursuant to RCW 84.40.0301, the value placed on the property by the Assessor is presumed to be correct, and can only be overcome by clear cogent and convincing evidence. This means the appellant is required to provide enough information to convince this Board that it is highly probable the assessed value is incorrect.

The Board of Equalization upholds sthe Assessor's valuation of this parcel. No supporting documentation was provided by the Petitioner to justify a reduction in value. If the Petitioner has information about the flood zoning, septic feasibility, access or anything else that warrants the reduction in value, documentation of that would be useful in future hearings. The Board of Equalization voted 2-0 to **Sustain** the Assessor's valuation.

Dated this day of, (year), 2016				
Chaimperson's Signature Clerk's Signature				
NOTICE				
This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them				
at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915, within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order.				
The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.				

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users may use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058e (4/30/13)